REMARKS

The reply filed on September 28, 2007, is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action

because Applicant has not pointed out the specific distinctions believed to render newly added

claims 45-47 patentable over any applied references.

Claim 45 defines an enhanced compiler "operate to create an interceptor using a

specification provided by the annotated source code, wherein the interceptor is capable of

allowing at least one user-defined pre or post processing method to be applied to a message

from the client." Assuming Beged-Dov teaches the interceptor, it is not obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the annotated source code and

enhanced compiler to implement interceptor for a network-accessible service which is beyond

the scope of merely incorporating an interceptor. Therefore, claim 45 should be in allowable

condition. Claims 46-47 are similarly amended as in claim1. Hence, claims 46-47 should also be

in allowable condition.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment

to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any

fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 12/17/2007

By: /Kuiran (Ted) Liu/ Kuiran (Ted) Liu

Reg. No. 60,039

Customer No. 23910 FLEISLER MEYER LLP

650 California Street, Fourteenth Floor

San Francisco, California 94108 Telephone: (415) 362-3800

12